The Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA): Legal Issues

Schools generate and maintain numerous student records, including grades, standardized test scores, disciplinary accounts, contact information, mental health records, and more. The Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA), which applies to educational agencies and institutions that receive certain types of financial assistance from the Department of Education (ED), regulates the handling of education records in several ways. The law sets forth two key requirements for covered entities. First, FERPA requires schools to allow parents to access and review their children’s education records. Second, it prohibits schools from releasing students’ education records, including personally identifiable information (PII), without the written consent of their parents, subject to several exceptions. FERPA defines “education records” as materials that (1) “contain information directly related to a student” and (2) “are maintained by an educational agency or institution or by a person acting for such agency or institution.”

FERPA does not govern all materials that may contain information about a student. The statute exempts certain categories of information from its definition of “education records” altogether. For instance, FERPA’s requirements do not apply to records independently generated by a school’s law enforcement unit for law enforcement purposes.

The statute’s prohibition against disclosing education records also does not apply to so-called “directory information,” as long as schools follow specific procedures when doing so. And under ED regulations, schools may disclose “de-identified” records without consent.

FERPA also has various specific exceptions that permit disclosure of student records in different situations. In certain circumstances, disclosure of education records absent consent is permitted to appropriate “school officials,” which can include online educational services, law enforcement units, and threat assessment teams. Schools may also disclose a student’s records to appropriate parties in emergency situations if necessary to protect the health and safety of students. In addition, schools may disclose education records without consent for the purpose of certain studies, as well as for audits and evaluations. Disclosure is also sometimes permitted to a state law juvenile justice system in order for that system to serve the student effectively. In each of these situations, FERPA imposes requirements on the recipients of these student records, including how materials may be used and when those records may be re-disclosed.

Because FERPA does not create a private right of action to sue schools for noncompliance, enforcement of the statute is primarily conducted by ED’s Student Privacy Policy Office, which can review and investigate violations. When noncompliance is not resolved through the administrative process, ED can withhold federal funds or terminate eligibility to receive federal funds.

In addition to complying with FERPA’s requirements concerning disclosure of student records, schools that receive federal financial assistance must also abide by the requirements of Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972. In May 2020, regulations were issued under Title IX that prescribe how schools must respond to allegations of sexual harassment at school. Those regulations require that during a grievance process in response to a formal complaint of harassment, schools must make certain information available to relevant parties. Although FERPA does not have an express “exception” for disclosures in Title IX sexual harassment proceedings, these requirements may nonetheless be reconcilable.
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Schools generate and maintain numerous records that concern their students, including grades, standardized test scores, disciplinary accounts, contact information, mental health records, and more. Concerns about protecting these materials from improper disclosure have increased with the rise of technology that is used to store and maintain school records.\(^1\) And the major expansion of third-party software to enable school instruction at home during the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has increased some observers’ concern about the security of students’ information.\(^2\)

The Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA), which applies to educational agencies and institutions\(^3\) that receive certain types of financial assistance from the Department of Education (ED),\(^4\) regulates the handling of student records in several ways.\(^5\) The statute has two key features. First, it requires schools to allow parents to access and review their children’s education records.\(^6\) Second, it prohibits schools from releasing students’ education records, including personally identifiable information (PII), without the written consent of their parents.\(^7\)

As this report explains, however, this prohibition is not absolute. For instance, FERPA permits the limited disclosure of otherwise protected student records in certain situations, including to other school officials for legitimate education interests, or when disclosure is necessary to protect health and safety in case of an emergency.\(^8\) In addition, the statute authorizes disclosure of students’ so-called “directory information,” subject to several procedural requirements.\(^9\)

---

3. Educational agencies and institutions includes local educational agencies (LEAs), elementary and secondary schools, and postsecondary educational institutions. See DEP’T OF EDUCATION, PRIVACY TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE CENTER, SCHOOL RESOURCE OFFICERS, SCHOOL LAW ENFORCEMENT UNITS, AND THE FAMILY EDUCATIONAL RIGHTS AND PRIVACY ACT (FERPA) 6 (2019) [hereinafter FERPA], https://studentprivacy.ed.gov/resources/school-resource-officers-school-law-enforcement-units-and-ferpa. For ease of reference, this report refers to the recipient educational agencies and institutions subject to FERPA simply as “schools.”
5. 20 U.S.C. § 1232g. The Protection of Pupil Rights Amendment also has implications for student privacy. Id. § 1232h. Among other things, the law prohibits certain surveys of students absent consent, grants parents of students the right to inspect potential surveys, and requires schools to allow parents to opt out of certain activities, including physical examinations. Id. § 1232h(b), (c)(1)(A), and (c)(2).
6. 20 U.S.C. § 1232g(a)(1)(A). When a student reaches the age of 18 or attends a postsecondary institution, the rights of the parent transfer to the student. Id. § 1232g(d); 34 C.F.R. §§ 99.3, 99.5.
7. 20 U.S.C. § 1232g(b)(1). FERPA rights transfer to students once they reach the age of 18 or attend a postsecondary institution. Id. § 1232g(d); 34 C.F.R. §§ 99.3, 99.5.
8. Id. § 1232g(b)(1)(A)-(K).
This report discusses FERPA’s provisions and its application to certain areas, including the use of online software for at-home student learning. It also examines how the statute is enforced, and discusses FERPA’s interaction with other legal requirements that apply to schools, such as regulations under Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 (Title IX), which set standards for adjudicating allegations of sexual harassment at school.

What Counts as an Education Record?

FERPA defines “education records” as materials that (1) “contain information directly related to a student” and (2) “are maintained by an educational agency or institution by a person acting for such agency or institution.” Certain records are expressly exempted from coverage under this definition, including employment records, as well as records created by educational personnel that are in the sole possession of the creator (e.g., notes taken by a teacher regarding a conversation with a student) that are not accessible to anyone except a substitute.

One of the notable categories of materials excluded from FERPA’s definition of “education records” is materials created and maintained by a school’s law enforcement unit for law enforcement purposes. Importantly, this category only applies to records that are (1) “created by a law enforcement unit,” (2) “created for a law enforcement purpose,” and (3) “maintained by” the law enforcement unit. The category does not include records maintained by a component of the school other than law enforcement. FERPA regulations clarify that the category does not

10 Because FERPA penalizes schools that have a policy or practice of violating its provisions, 20 U.S.C. § 1232g(b)(1), some disagreement exists with regard to how the statute should interact with state open records laws. Mathilda McGee-Tubb, Deciphering the Supremacy of Federal Funding Conditions: Why State Open Records Laws Must Yield to FERPA, 53 B.C. L. Rev. 1045, 1049 (2012). See United States v. Miami Univ., 294 F.3d 776, 812 (6th Cir. 2002) (affirming a district court decision that granted an injunction brought by the United States against a university from releasing records in violation of FERPA). This report only examines the ways in which FERPA directly regulates the disclosure of student records. It does not consider the degree to which FERPA, in addition to imposing record-shielding requirements on covered entities as a condition to receiving federal funds, may also have a preemptive effect on inconsistent state or local measures. See generally Caledonian-Record Pub. Co. v. Vermont State Colleges, 175 Vt. 438, 441, 833 A.2d 1273, 1275–76 (2003) (collecting cases reaching different conclusions on FERPA’s preemptive effect and observing that “state and federal courts are sharply divided on this issue.... Some have questioned whether the federal law, merely by withholding funds from educational institutions that release education records to anyone other than certain enumerated persons, affirmatively prohibits disclosure of student records.”) (internal citations omitted).

11 See infra “FERPA Enforcement.”

12 See infra “How Does FERPA Interact With Other Legal Requirements?”


14 Id. § 1232g(a)(4)(B)(iii); 34 C.F.R. § 99.3. In addition, medical treatment records for students 18 years of age or older or in college are not considered education records. But to meet this definition, treatment records must be made or maintained by a physician, psychiatrist, psychologist, or other recognized professional or paraprofessional acting in his professional or paraprofessional capacity, or assisting in that capacity, and which are made, maintained, or used only in connection with the provision of treatment to the student, and are not available to anyone other than persons providing such treatment, except that such records can be personally reviewed by a physician or other appropriate professional of the student’s choice.


include records, even if created and maintained by the law enforcement unit, that are used exclusively for non-law enforcement purposes, such as a disciplinary proceeding. But, if a school’s law enforcement unit independently generates an investigative report on a student for law enforcement purposes and maintains those records itself, FERPA does not prohibit the local law enforcement unit from disclosing that information. Because of this distinction, ED advises that local law enforcement units maintain law enforcement records separately from education records.

In addition to these exclusions, FERPA and its implementing regulations include a number of other categories of materials that do not qualify as “education records.” Table 1 lists materials exempted from the definition of “education records.”

**Table 1. Materials Excepted by FERPA or its Implementing Regulations from the Definition of “Education Records”**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instructional Records in Sole Possession of the Maker</th>
<th>“records of instructional, supervisory, and administrative personnel and educational personnel ancillary thereto which are in the sole possession of the maker thereof and which are not accessible or revealed to any other person except a substitute” (20 U.S.C. § 1232g(a)(4)(B)(i))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Law Enforcement Records</td>
<td>“records maintained by a law enforcement unit of the educational agency or institution that were created by that law enforcement unit for the purpose of law enforcement” (20 U.S.C. § 1232g(a)(4)(B)(ii))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Records</td>
<td>“in the case of persons who are employed by an educational agency or institution but who are not in attendance at such agency or institution, records made and maintained in the normal course of business which relate exclusively to such person in that person’s capacity as an employee and are not available for use for any other purpose” (20 U.S.C. § 1232g(a)(4)(B)(iii))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

18 34 C.F.R. § 99.8 (b)(2).
19 **LAW ENFORCEMENT UNITS, supra** note 3, at 15.
20 Id.
21 FERPA regulations contain two such exceptions that are not explicitly mentioned in the statute. The first is for records of a former student not directly related to the individual’s attendance as a student. 34 C.F.R. § 99.3. ED states that this provision simply clarifies that such materials do not qualify as “education records” under FERPA. Final Regulations, Family Educational Rights and Privacy, 73 Fed. Reg. 74806-01, 74811 (Dec. 9, 2008). The second is for student grades from peer-graded papers before recording by the teacher. 34 C.F.R. § 99.3. This regulatory exception reflects the Supreme Court’s decision in Owasso Indep. Sch. Dist. No. I-011 v. Falvo, 534 U.S. 426, 428 (2002). See also Final Regulations, Family Educational Rights and Privacy, 73 Fed. Reg. 74806-01, 74811 (Dec. 9, 2008).
### Health Records of Adult Students

“records on a student who is eighteen years of age or older, or is attending an institution of postsecondary education, which are made or maintained by a physician, psychiatrist, psychologist, or other recognized professional or paraprofessional acting in his professional or paraprofessional capacity, or assisting in that capacity, and which are made, maintained, or used only in connection with the provision of treatment to the student, and are not available to anyone other than persons providing such treatment, except that such records can be personally reviewed by a physician or other appropriate professional of the student’s choice.” (20 U.S.C. § 1232g(a)(4)(B)(iv))

### Former Students

“Records created or received by an educational agency or institution after an individual is no longer a student in attendance and that are not directly related to the individual’s attendance as a student” (34 C.F.R. § 99.3)

### Peer Review Grading

“Grades on peer-graded papers before they are collected and recorded by a teacher” (34 C.F.R. § 99.3)

---

**Source:** CRS.

A practical example of what counts as an education record under FERPA derives from the Supreme Court’s 2002 decision in *Owasso Independent School District v. Falvo*. There, the Court considered whether the process of peer grading in classrooms violated FERPA requirements. The plaintiffs argued that the practice of students grading one another’s papers and calling out those grades to the teacher for recording violated FERPA by disclosing students’ “education records” without parental consent. The Court disagreed, ruling that the grades of students’ papers, at least before being entered into the teacher’s gradebook, are not “education records” because they are not “maintained” within the meaning of the term’s definition. The term “maintain,” the Court noted, suggested that education records subject to FERPA would be “kept in a filing cabinet in a records room at the school or on a permanent secure database.”

---


23 *Id.* at 428. As explained *infra*, the Court explicitly did not resolve whether FERPA contains a private right of action to bring suit to enforce its provisions in federal court. *Id.* at 430-31. The Court later held that FERPA did not create a private right of action. *Gonzaga Univ. v. Doe*, 536 U.S. 273, 276 (2002).

24 *Owasso Indep. Sch. Dist.*, 534 U.S. at 432.

25 *Id.* at 432-33. The Court declined to decide whether FERPA protects grades once they are given to a teacher. *See id.* at 436 (“For these reasons, even assuming a teacher’s grade book is an education record, the Court of Appeals erred, for in all events the grades on students’ papers would not be covered under FERPA at least until the teacher has collected them and recorded them in his or her grade book. We limit our holding to this narrow point, and do not decide the broader question whether the grades on individual student assignments, once they are turned in to teachers, are protected by the Act.”). It appears that ED and a few lower courts have concluded that FERPA protects grades entered into a gradebook. *See Dep’t of Education, What Is an Education Record?*, https://studentprivacy.ed.gov/faq/what-education-record/ (“These records include but are not limited to grades, transcripts, class lists, student course schedules, health records (at the K-12 level), student financial information (at the postsecondary level), and student discipline files.”); *Greenfield v. Newman Univ., Inc.*, No. 218CV02655DDCTJJ, 2020 WL 2766172, at *2 (D. Kan. May 28, 2020) (“FERPA is intended to protect records” such as “transcripts, test scores, grade information or information related to student academic performance.”); *Ragusa v. Malverne Union Free Sch. Dist.*, 549 F. Supp. 2d 288, 293 (E.D.N.Y. 2008) (“[D]ocuments relating to students’ grades, evaluations, and academic performance are undoubtedly ‘education records’ within the meaning of FERPA.”).

When students grade one another’s papers, the Court explained, they do not “maintain” records in the manner that the school registrar does.  

### Accessing Education Records

FERPA requires schools that receive financial assistance from ED to allow parents to access and review the education records of their children. Under the statute, schools must establish procedures that facilitate this process, and those procedures must ensure that parents can access their children’s records within 45 days of a request. Parents must also have the option to challenge the content of those records in order to ensure their accuracy, including through a hearing if requested. According to FERPA regulations, schools must annually give parents notice of their rights under the law.

Although the rights under FERPA transfer from parents to students when they turn 18 or enter postsecondary education, those students’ right of access to records is limited in several ways. For instance, FERPA does not require colleges to make parents’ financial records available to students.

### Disclosing Education Records

FERPA prohibits federal funds from going to schools that have a policy permitting disclosure of students’ education records, including PII, without written parental consent. As noted above, the definition of “education records” under FERPA is broad, perhaps indicating at first glance that schools might be prohibited from ever releasing a broad range of student information. But the statute contains numerous qualifications. As explained below, so-called “directory information” may be disclosed as long as parents are given prior notice of what materials are designated as directory information and an opportunity to opt out. Further, education records may be released to specific entities for various purposes outlined in the statute and FERPA regulations. Schools must, however, keep a record of which individuals or entities (other than a student’s parents) requested

---

27 Id.

28 20 U.S.C. § 1232g(a)(1)(A). FERPA applies to schools that receive federal financial assistance under an applicable program of ED.

29 Id. § 1232g(a)(1)(A).

30 Id. § 1232g(a)(2); 34 C.F.R. §§ 99.20-99.21

31 34 C.F.R. § 99.7(a). If a school has a policy of disclosing records under the school official exception, the notice must also include the criteria used to determine who qualifies as a school official and “what constitutes a legitimate educational interest.” Id. § 99.7(a)(3)(iii). See infra “School Officials Exception.”


33 20 U.S.C. § 1232g(a)(1)(C). The statute provides that the right of access does not extend to letters of recommendation in some situations. The statute has different provisions for letters of recommendation from before January 1, 1975, and after. Compare id. § 1232g(a)(1)(C)(ii), with id. § 1232g(a)(1)(C)(iii) (explaining that the right of access does not apply to certain confidential recommendations if a student has signed a waiver pursuant to 20 U.S.C. § 1232g(a)(1)(D)).

34 Id. § 1232g(b). FERPA prohibits disclosing “education records” absent consent or a relevant exception. Id. § 1232g(b)(1). But the regulations implementing FERPA permit disclosing records without consent if all PII is removed “provided that the educational agency or institution or other party has made a reasonable determination that a student’s identity is not personally identifiable, whether through single or multiple releases, and taking into account other reasonably available information.” 34 C.F.R. § 99.31(b)(1).
or obtained access to a student’s records, including the legitimate interest such entities had in the information. 35

For many FERPA exceptions, 36 the regulations also impose requirements on recipients of student records regarding the use and re-disclosure of that information. 37 When schools disclose PII from an education record pursuant to an applicable exception, they must do so on the condition that the recipient will not re-disclose the information absent consent. 38 And when recipients receive student information, they may only use the information for the purposes for which it was disclosed. 39 That said, the regulations provide that schools may disclose PII to a recipient with the understanding that the recipient may make further disclosures on behalf of the school if a relevant exception applies. 40

Directory Information and De-identified Data

Although the prohibition on releasing “education records” broadly encompasses information directly related to a student and maintained by a school, 41 FERPA nonetheless provides that basic student data—so-called “directory information”—may be released without affirmative consent as long as certain requirements are met. 42 Directory information includes material that “would not generally be considered harmful or an invasion of privacy if disclosed.” 43 Schools must give prior public notice of the types of records designated as directory information and an opportunity for parents in writing to refuse to allow specific records to be so designated. 44

Under FERPA and its implementing regulations, directory information includes a student’s identification number, 45 as well as a student’s name; address; telephone listing; electronic mail address; photograph; date and place of birth; major field of study; grade level; enrollment status (e.g., undergraduate or graduate, full-time or part-time); dates of attendance; participation in officially recognized activities and sports; weight and height of members of athletic teams; degrees, honors and awards received; and the most recent educational agency or institution attended. 46

35 20 U.S.C. § 1232g(b)(4)(A). This provision appears to exempt disclosures to school officials from this requirement. Id. § 1232g(b)(4)(A); 34 C.F.R. § 99.32(d)(2).
36 The regulations provide that the use and re-disclosure requirements do “not apply to disclosures under §§ 99.31(a)(8), (9), (11), (12), (14), (15), and (16), and to information that postsecondary institutions are required to disclose under the Jeanne Clery Disclosure of Campus Security Policy and Campus Crime Statistics Act, 20 U.S.C. 1092(f) (Clery Act), to the accuser and accused regarding the outcome of any campus disciplinary proceeding brought alleging a sexual offense.” 34 C.F.R. § 99.33(c).
37 Id. § 99.33(a)(1).
38 Id. § 99.33(a)(2).
39 Id. § 99.33(b).
40 Id. § 99.33(a)(4)(A).
42 Id. § 1232g(b)(1) and (a)(5)(a).
43 34 C.F.R. § 99.3.
44 20 U.S.C. § 1232g(a)(5)(a); 34 C.F.R. § 99.37(a)(1)-(3).
45 A student’s identification number counts as directory information as long as “the identifier cannot be used to gain access to education records except when used in conjunction with one or more factors that authenticate the user’s identity, such as a personal identification number (PIN), password, or other factor known or possessed only by the authorized user.” 34 C.F.R. § 99.3.
46 Id. § 99.3; 20 U.S.C. § 1232g(a)(5)(A).
The carve-out of directory information from FERPA’s disclosure prohibition allows schools to include certain student information in various publications, such as playbills for theatre productions, programs at athletics competitions, student directories, yearbooks, graduation programs, and honor rolls. Directory information also can be distributed to companies that provide class photos, yearbooks, and class rings.

FERPA’s regulations also provide that schools, as well as parties authorized to receive education records under a relevant exception (e.g., the exception for audits and evaluations), may disclose “de-identified” records absent consent. For this exception to apply, the entity must remove all PII and make “a reasonable determination that a student’s identity is not personally identifiable, whether through single or multiple releases, and taking into account other reasonably available information.”

**School Officials Exception**

Schools may disclose education records to appropriate “school officials” without parental consent. School officials include other teachers in the institution if the school has determined they have “legitimate educational interests.” According to FERPA regulations, outside parties, such as contractors, volunteers, or consultants to whom a school has “outsourced institutional services or functions,” may also qualify as school officials if (1) the school determines they have a legitimate educational interest; (2) they conduct “an institutional service or function for which the school would otherwise use employees; (3) they are “under the direct control” of the school regarding the maintenance and use of the records; and (4) they are subject to FERPA’s use and re-disclosure requirements.

Finally, schools must “use reasonable methods” to ensure school officials only access those records in which they have legitimate educational interests. According to guidance from ED, a school official will typically have a legitimate educational interest “if he or she needs to review an education record in order to fulfill his or her professional responsibilities.”

**Online Educational Services**

While not explicitly set forth in statute or regulations, ED guidance documents interpret the school official exception to permit disclosure of education records to third-party providers of online educational software. Schools often use online tools to facilitate instruction provided by

---


48 Id.

49 20 U.S.C. § 1232g(b)(1)(C), (b)(3), (b)(5); 34 C.F.R. §§ 99.31(a)(3), 99.35.

50 34 C.F.R. § 99.31(b)(1).

51 Id.

52 20 U.S.C. § 1232g(b)(1)(A); 34 C.F.R. § 99.31. Doe v. Woodford Cty. Bd. of Educ., 213 F.3d 921, 927 (6th Cir. 2000) (“For these reasons, we find there was no violation of John Doe’s rights under the Act. Any disclosure is protected by the [school official and health and safety] exceptions under the Act.”).


54 34 C.F.R. § 99.31(a)(1)(i).

55 Id. § 99.33(a)(ii).

56 See [LAW ENFORCEMENT UNITS, supra note 3, at 11.]

57 [DEP’T OF EDUCATION, STUDENT PRIVACY POLICY OFFICE, FERPA & VIRTUAL LEARNING DURING COVID-19](Mar. 2020) [hereinafter FERPA & VIRTUAL LEARNING].
third parties. These tools can include programs that students or their parents access through the internet to participate in a school activity. The use of such tools has expanded with the increase in at-home learning due to the COVID-19 pandemic.\textsuperscript{58}

Schools may disclose education records to third-party providers under the school official exception as long as the requirements discussed above are met.\textsuperscript{59} ED has noted that the “direct control” requirement above can be met through a contract signed by the school and online software provider; in some cases, the “Terms of Service” may satisfy this obligation as well.\textsuperscript{60} As mentioned above, providers that receive education records under the school official exception may only use those records for the specific purpose for which they were disclosed.\textsuperscript{61} In other words, providers may only use those education records to perform the outsourced function assigned by the school. This requirement generally precludes providers from selling such information to another party or reusing it for another purpose.\textsuperscript{62}

Under FERPA’s regulations, however, providers may disclose without consent records that are properly “de-identified,” or stripped of all PII.\textsuperscript{63} According to guidance from ED, this includes metadata that the provider collects through its software.\textsuperscript{64} For instance, providers might collect information about how long it takes students to perform a discrete task.\textsuperscript{65} As long as such information is stripped of PII, providers may disclose that information without consent.\textsuperscript{66}

**Law Enforcement**

If a school has outsourced the duties of providing safety and security to law enforcement unit (LEU) officials, such as school resource officers, it may consider them to be “school officials” when certain requirements are met.\textsuperscript{67} As noted above, records created and maintained by an LEU


\textsuperscript{61} 34 C.F.R. § 99.31(a)(1)(i).

\textsuperscript{62} PROTECTING STUDENT PRIVACY, supra note 60, at 5.

\textsuperscript{63} 34 C.F.R. § 99.31(b)(1). The regulations provide that such de-identified information may be disclosed “provided that the educational agency or institution or other party has made a reasonable determination that a student’s identity is not personally identifiable, whether through single or multiple releases, and taking into account other reasonably available information.” Id.

\textsuperscript{64} PROTECTING STUDENT PRIVACY, supra note 60, at 2-3.

\textsuperscript{65} Id. at 5.

\textsuperscript{66} Id. at 5.

\textsuperscript{67} See LAW ENFORCEMENT UNITS, supra note 3, at 11. According to ED, an LEU official who is a school or school district employee “generally would be considered a school official to whom the school or district may disclose, without consent, education records (or PII contained in those records), if the law enforcement unit official meets the criteria specified in the school or district’s annual notification of FERPA rights to parents and eligible students for being a ‘school official’ with a ‘legitimate educational interest’ in the education records.” Id. See 34 C.F.R. § 99.7(a)(3)(iii).
for law enforcement purposes are not education records under FERPA.68 This means that if a school’s LEU independently generates records on a student for law enforcement purposes, it will not violate FERPA if it discloses that information without consent. However, a school may not disclose a student’s education records to its LEU without consent unless one of FERPA’s exceptions is met.69

When schools outsource the function of providing safety and security on campus to LEU officials, they may sometimes disclose education records without consent to those LEU officials under the school official exception.70 In order to do so, the school resource officer or LEU official must meet the four requirements laid out in FERPA’s regulations mentioned above.71 That means (1) the school must determine that they have a legitimate educational interest in the records; and the LEU official must (2) perform an institutional service or function for the school; (3) maintain the records under the direct control of the school; and (4) follow FERPA’s use and re-disclosure requirements.72

LEU officials to whom a school has outsourced the function of providing safety and security on campus can satisfy requirement (2) because ensuring school safety constitutes an “institutional service or function.”73 And as mentioned above, a school can satisfy the “legitimate educational interest,” requirement (1), if an LEU official needs to review the information to fulfill his job responsibilities.74 For instance, a school might disclose to its LEU the disciplinary record of a student who is barred from campus.75

Often, a school will enter a memorandum of understanding with its LEU that establishes data protection and use requirements in order to satisfy requirement (3).76 Application of requirement (4), FERPA’s use and re-disclosure requirements, means that LEUs may only use education records for the purpose for which the disclosure was made, such as ensuring school safety.77 In addition, LEUs may not re-disclose covered education records to outside parties, such as a police department, unless one of FERPA’s exceptions is satisfied.78

**Threat Assessment Teams**

Schools may also use the school official exception to disclose education records to threat assessment teams. According to guidance documents issued by ED, a threat assessment team may “review incidents of threatening behavior by students (current and former), parents, school employees, or other individuals,” and based on their expertise provide guidance to a school on how to respond to a potential threat.79 It appears that such teams can assist schools to determine

---

69 Id. § 1232g(b)(1).
70 See LAW ENFORCEMENT UNITS, supra note 3, at 11.
71 See supra note 54.
72 34 C.F.R. § 99.31(a)(1)(i).
73 LAW ENFORCEMENT UNITS, supra note 3, at 12.
74 Id. at 11.
75 Id. at 15.
76 Id. at 12.
77 Id.
78 Id.
79 Id.
whether student records should be disclosed under the health or safety emergency exception.\textsuperscript{80} The members of a threat assessment team can include individuals not employed by a school, such as medical and mental health professionals, as well as law enforcement officers.\textsuperscript{81}

Members of threat assessment teams are subject to the limitations that apply to all school officials. Consequently, the members may only use education records for the purposes for which they were disclosed, and they may not re-disclose the records absent a FERPA exception.\textsuperscript{82} ED guidance documents specifically give an example: if a representative from a police department serves on a threat assessment team, he or she may not disclose PII from education records accessed as a member of the team to their police department (absent an applicable FERPA exception).\textsuperscript{83}

**Health and Safety Emergency Exception**

FERPA also provides that student records may be released in emergency situations if necessary to protect the health and safety of students.\textsuperscript{84} The statute’s regulations spell out in detail how this exception operates.\textsuperscript{85} Schools “may take the totality of the circumstances” in determining if an emergency exists.\textsuperscript{86} If a school determines that there is an “articulable and significant threat” to the health or safety of a student or other person, it may disclose an education record to any person whose knowledge of that information is necessary to protect health and safety.\textsuperscript{87} As long as a school has a rational basis for this decision, ED will not “substitute its judgment” for that of the school.\textsuperscript{88}

According to ED, examples of a significant and articulable emergency include an impending natural disaster, terrorist attacks, campus threats, or the outbreak of an epidemic disease.\textsuperscript{89} For instance, during the COVID-19 pandemic, ED issued guidance explaining that the health and safety emergency exception will sometimes authorize disclosure without consent of PII from education records to appropriate public health officials to protect public safety.\textsuperscript{90} State and local law enforcement officials, public health officials, medical personnel, and parents might need to

\begin{enumerate}
\item \textit{Id. See supra “Health and Safety Emergency Exception.”}
\item \textit{LAw Enforcement UnITS, supra note 3, at 13.}
\item 34 C.F.R. § 99.33.
\item \textit{LAw Enforcement UnITS, supra note 3, at 14.}
\item 20 U.S.C. § 1232g(b)(1)(I). Doe v. Woodford Cty. Bd. of Educ., 213 F.3d 921, 927 (6th Cir. 2000) (“For these reasons, we find there was no violation of John Doe's rights under the Act. Any disclosure is protected by the [school official and health and safety] exceptions under the Act.”).
\item 34 C.F.R. §§ 99.31(a)(10), 99.36.
\item \textit{Id. § 99.36(c).}
\item \textit{Id.}
\item \textit{Id. See Dep’t of Education, Addressing Emergencies on Campus 4 (June 2011) [hereinafter Emergency Guidance] (“This is a flexible standard under which the Department defers to school administrators so that they may bring appropriate resources to bear on the situation, provided that there is a rational basis for the educational agency’s or institution’s decisions about the nature of the emergency and the appropriate parties to whom the information should be disclosed.”), https://studentprivacy.ed.gov/sites/default/files/resource_document/file/emergency-guidance.pdf.}
\item \textit{See LAw Enforcement UnITS, supra note 3, at 17; Emergency Guidance, supra note 88, at 3.}
\end{enumerate}
receive records to protect the health and safety of students and others. Any time that a school discloses a student’s PII from education records under the emergency exception, it must record the threat and the parties to whom records were disclosed.

According to guidance from ED, FERPA does not prohibit a school official from disclosing information obtained not through a record but from personal knowledge or observation. For instance, if a teacher overhears a student make a threat, FERPA does not prohibit that information from being disclosed. Consequently, the teacher could disclose what he or she overheard to appropriate authorities.

Studies and Audits Exceptions

FERPA also allows disclosing education records for the purpose of certain studies, as well as for audits and evaluations. The studies exception allows schools to disclose records to organizations conducting studies in order to (1) develop, administer, or validate predictive tests; (2) administer student aid programs; or (3) improve instruction. For instance, a school might disclose education records to an organization that compares student outcomes across different school districts. Disclosures under the studies exception are permitted only if the study does not authorize the personal identification of students or parents other than to members of the organization with legitimate interests in the information. In addition, when no longer needed for the study, the information must be destroyed. Finally, in order to disclose education records to an organization under the studies exception, schools must enter into written agreements with the organization that specify the scope of the study and limit the use of PII in various ways.

The audit and evaluation exception permits schools to release education records without consent to authorized representatives of state and local educational authorities. Information under the

---

91 EMERGENCY GUIDANCE, supra note 88, at 3.
92 34 C.F.R. § 99.32(a)(5).
93 EMERGENCY GUIDANCE, supra note 88, at 4.
94 Id. According to ED, “this general rule does not apply where a school official personally learns of information about a student through his or her official role in making a determination about the student and the determination is maintained in an education record.” Id.
95 Id.
96 20 U.S.C. § 1232g(b)(1)(F); 34 C.F.R. § 99.31(a)(6).
97 20 U.S.C. § 1232g(b)(1)(C), (b)(3), (b)(5); 34 C.F.R. §§ 99.31(a)(3), 99.35.
100 Id. § 99.31(a)(6)(iiii)(A).
101 Id. § 99.31(a)(6)(iiii)(B).
102 Id. § 99.31(a)(6)(iiii)(C). In particular, the regulations provide that schools enter into a written agreement that “‘(1) Specifies the purpose, scope, and duration of the study or studies and the information to be disclosed; (2) Requires the organization to use personally identifiable information from education records only to meet the purpose or purposes of the study as stated in the written agreement; (3) Requires the organization to conduct the study in a manner that does not permit personal identification of parents and students, as defined in this part, by anyone other than representatives of the organization with legitimate interests; and (4) Requires the organization to destroy all personally identifiable information when the information is no longer needed for the purposes for which the study was conducted and specifies the time period in which the information must be destroyed.”
103 20 U.S.C. § 1232g(b)(1)(C), (b)(3), (b)(5); 34 C.F.R. §§ 99.31(a)(3), 99.35. Disclosure under this exception may
exception must be used to audit or evaluate a federal or state-supported education program or to enforce or ensure compliance with federal legal requirements connected to those programs.\(^{104}\) As with the studies exception, schools must enter into written agreements with recipients of the information that specify how the information will be used and when it will be destroyed.\(^{105}\) Further, written agreements must establish policies and procedures that protect information from further disclosure.\(^{106}\)

**State Law Juvenile Justice System Exception**

FERPA also authorizes disclosing education records to a state or local juvenile justice system without consent in certain situations.\(^{107}\) If a state has adopted a statute after November 19, 1974, that specifically allows disclosure of student education records to state and local officials, then disclosure without consent is allowed if the disclosure concerns the juvenile justice system’s “ability to effectively serve, prior to adjudication, the student whose records are released.”\(^{108}\) Officials to whom this information is disclosed must certify in writing that they will not re-disclose the information except as provided under state law or with consent.\(^{109}\) Guidance from ED, in coordination with the Department of Justice (DOJ), indicates that disclosure under this exception is limited to a local or state juvenile justice system agency.\(^{110}\) For instance, in situations where the above conditions are met, if a student is arrested for a crime for the first time, a police department’s juvenile division can receive education records from a school about the student in order to serve the student effectively prior to adjudication.\(^{111}\)

The juvenile justice system exception appears to authorize some disclosures of education records by a school district to a local juvenile justice agency, although the outer bounds of when a disclosure concerns the juvenile justice system’s ability to serve a student effectively are uncertain. Because the statute imposes this requirement for “the student whose records are released,” disclosure under this exception may require a specific finding of need for a particular student. This reading of the statute seems consistent with ED and DOJ guidance describing how the exception operates.\(^{112}\)

** Victims of Crimes at Postsecondary Institutions**

Postsecondary institutions may disclose certain disciplinary records of an alleged perpetrator of a crime of violence or a nonforcible sex offense.\(^{113}\) First, institutions may disclose to the alleged

\(^{104}\) 20 U.S.C. § 1232g(b)(1)(C); 34 C.F.R. § 99.31(a)(3).

\(^{105}\) 20 U.S.C. § 1232g(b)(3), (b)(5); 34 C.F.R. § 99.35.

\(^{106}\) 34 C.F.R. § 99.35(a)(3).


\(^{108}\) Id. § 99.35(a)(3)(v).

\(^{109}\) Id. § 99.35(a)(3)(v).


\(^{111}\) See DEP’T OF EDUC., SHARING INFORMATION: A GUIDE TO THE FAMILY EDUCATIONAL RIGHTS AND PRIVACY ACT AND PARTICIPATION IN JUVENILE JUSTICE PROGRAMS 8 (1997).

\(^{112}\) Id. at 8.

\(^{113}\) Id. at 8-9.
victim of such a crime the final results of disciplinary proceedings that are conducted against the alleged perpetrator of the crime.\textsuperscript{114} Second, if an institution determines through a disciplinary proceeding that a student violated its rules or policies with respect to a crime of violence or nonforcible sex offense, then it may disclose the final results of that proceeding to anyone.\textsuperscript{115} The final results are limited to the name of the student, the violation committed, and any sanction the institution imposes.\textsuperscript{116} The names of other students, however, such as other victims or witnesses, may only be disclosed with their consent.\textsuperscript{117}

Importantly, while FERPA authorizes postsecondary institutions to disclose the results of disciplinary proceedings to the alleged victim of a crime of violence or a nonforcible sex offense, a statutory provision outside of FERPA, contained in the Higher Education Act (HEA), requires institutions of higher education to do so in certain circumstances.\textsuperscript{118} As a condition of receiving funds under Title IV of the HEA, institutions of higher education must enter a Program Participation Agreement that requires them to, upon written request, disclose to the alleged victim of a crime of violence or a nonforcible sex offense the report on the results of a disciplinary proceeding against the student who is the alleged perpetrator of that offense.\textsuperscript{119}

FERPA Enforcement

As explained above, FERPA prohibits federal funding of schools that have a policy of denying parents the right to review the education records of their children, as well as schools that have a policy or practice of disclosing student education records to unauthorized entities.\textsuperscript{120} The enforcement of FERPA’s provisions is statutorily entrusted with the Secretary of Education, who is responsible for designating an office and review board for investigating and reviewing complaints of violations.\textsuperscript{121} The Student Privacy Policy Office in ED reviews and investigates complaints and violations of FERPA.\textsuperscript{122} Following an investigation, it will provide any complainant as well as the school written notice of its findings.\textsuperscript{123} In cases where ED finds that a school has not complied with FERPA and that failure was based on a school’s policy or practice, the notice to the school will include the specific steps needed to comply with FERPA, and provide a reasonable time to comply.\textsuperscript{124} If the school does not comply within the time given, the Secretary can enforce FERPA in a variety of ways, including by withholding further payments under any educational program, issuing a complaint to compel compliance through a cease and desist order,

\textsuperscript{114} 20 U.S.C. § 1232g(b)(6)(A).
\textsuperscript{115} Id. § 1232g(b)(6)(B).
\textsuperscript{116} Id. § 1232g(b)(6)(C).
\textsuperscript{117} Id. § 1232g(b)(6)(C)(ii).
\textsuperscript{118} Id. § 1094(a)(26).
\textsuperscript{119} Id. § 1094(a)(26).
\textsuperscript{120} 20 U.S.C. § 1232g(a)(1); (b)(1).
\textsuperscript{121} Id. § 1232g(f); (g).
\textsuperscript{122} FERPA regulations refer to the Office of the Chief Privacy Officer. 34 C.F.R. § 99.60. The ED website indicates that the Student Privacy Policy Office is led by the Chief Privacy Officer. DEP’T OF EDUCATION, STUDENT PRIVACY POLICY OFFICE, https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/opepd/sppo/index.html.
\textsuperscript{123} 34 C.F.R. § 99.66(b).
\textsuperscript{124} Id. § 99.66(c).
or terminating eligibility to receive funding under any educational program. Another option is for the DOJ to bring a lawsuit on behalf of the United States against a noncompliant school.

Aside from the possibility of a lawsuit brought by the DOJ, the administrative scheme implemented by ED is the primary enforcement mechanism for FERPA. Whereas some other federal requirements for schools, such as Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, are enforceable through a private right of action brought in federal court directly against a school for violations, FERPA does not create such a private right of action for students or parents. Courts have recognized that the statute itself does not explicitly establish a private right of action to sue for violations. And in the 2002 case of Gonzaga University v. Doe, the Supreme Court ruled that FERPA also does not create any personal rights enforceable under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (which provides a remedy for violations of federally conferred rights against state officials). According to the Court, because Congress did not clearly and unambiguously establish an enforceable right in the statute, FERPA could not be enforced via a suit under § 1983.

How Does FERPA Interact With Other Legal Requirements?

Military Recruiters

While FERPA generally prohibits schools from disclosing student education records without consent unless an exception applies, two other statutes require schools to disclose certain student information to military recruiters. The Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) imposes certain requirements on local educational agencies that receive federal funds under its provisions. Those schools must disclose the name, address, and telephone listing of secondary school students upon request to a military recruiter. Parents may opt out of this disclosure for their children, and schools must notify parents of this option. Another statute generally directed at the Department of Defense imposes similar requirements, but defines covered schools somewhat differently.

125 Id. § 99.67(a). See 34 C.F.R. § 99.60(c) (designating the Office of Administrative Law Judges as the Review Board authorized to enforce the Act).

126 See e.g., United States v. Miami Univ., 294 F.3d 797, 812 (6th Cir. 2002) (affirming a district court decision that granted an injunction brought by the United States against a university from releasing records in violation of FERPA).


128 Girardier v. Webster Coll., 563 F.2d 1267, 1276 (8th Cir. 1977); Gonzaga Univ. v. Doe, 536 U.S. 273, 276 (2002) (noting that the lower court “acknowledged that ‘FERPA itself does not give rise to a private cause of action’”).


130 Id. at 290.


133 Id. § 7908(a)(2). When a student turns 18, the consent required of parents transfers to the student. Id. § 7908(a)(5).


135 Id. § 503(c)(6). The DOD-specific statute also applies to local educational agencies that receive assistance under the ESEA, but defines local educational agencies as (1) local educational agencies within the meaning of the term under the ESEA and (2) private secondary schools. Id. § 503(c)(6). By contrast, the ESEA defines local educational agencies as primarily “public” entities. 20 U.S.C. § 7801(30)(A).
Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972

In addition to complying with FERPA’s requirements concerning disclosure of student records, schools that receive federal financial assistance must also abide by the requirements of Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972. That statute generally prohibits schools from discriminating on the basis of sex. In May 2020, ED issued regulations under Title IX that prescribe how schools must respond to allegations of sexual harassment at school. Those regulations require that, during a grievance process in response to a formal complaint of harassment, schools must provide both parties the opportunity to review any evidence obtained through an investigation related to the allegations. They also require schools to allow parties to have an advisor present during any proceeding of the grievance process. Schools must create an investigative report that is sent to each party and their advisor and issue written determinations of responsibility that include various findings that are disclosed to the parties.

Schools that receive federal financial assistance generally must comply both with FERPA and these Title IX requirements. Although FERPA does not have an express “exception” for disclosures in Title IX sexual harassment proceedings, these requirements may nonetheless be reconcilable. According to ED, the requirements of FERPA and the Title IX regulations do not contradict each other. But in cases in which a direct conflict arises, ED asserts, Title IX’s requirements override FERPA. For support, ED points to the larger General Education Provisions Act (GEPA)—of which FERPA is a part—which provides that nothing in its

137 Id. § 1681. While ED’s Student Privacy Office enforces FERPA, Title IX’s requirements for educational programs receiving federal financial assistance from ED are enforced by the Office for Civil Rights. See DEP’T OF EDUCATION, ABOUT OCR (last accessed Feb. 24, 2021), https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/aboutocr.html.
138 Nondiscrimination On the Basis of Sex in Education Programs or Activities Receiving Federal Financial Assistance, 85 Fed. Reg. 30026 (May 19, 2020) (codified at 34 C.F.R. Part 106) [hereinafter Nondiscrimination]. These provisions are similar to those included in regulations implementing the Clery Act. 34 C.F.R. § 668.46(k). The Clery Act provisions apply to institutions of higher education who participate in Title IV of the Higher Education Act’s student financial assistance programs. CRS In Focus IF11277, The Clery Act: Requirements and Legal Issues, by Jared P. Cole. The procedural requirements for disciplinary actions cover cases of alleged dating violence, domestic violence, sexual assault, and stalking. 34 C.F.R. § 668.46(k). The Clery Act regulations also provide that compliance with those requirements does not violate FERPA. Id. § 668.46(l).
139 34 C.F.R. § 106.45(b)(5)(vi).
140 Id. § 106.45(b)(5)(iv).
141 Id. § 106.45(b)(5)(vi).
142 Id. § 106.45(b)(7). The Biden Administration issued an executive order directing ED to review these regulations for consistency with Title IX and the Administration’s position that the statute’s bar against sex discrimination includes sexual orientation and gender identity. Exec. Order 14021, 86 Fed. Reg. 13,803 (Mar. 11, 2021). ED’s Office of Civil Rights (OCR) has since announced that it is conducting a review of existing regulations, including amendments to the regulations made in 2020, in light of the executive order. OCR also indicated that after a period of review and hearing from the public, it anticipates issuing a notice of proposed rulemaking to amend the Title IX regulations. Suzanne B. Goldberg, Acting Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights, Letter to Students, Educators, and other Stakeholders re Executive Order 14021 (Apr. 6, 2021), https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/correspondence/stakeholders/20210406-titleix-eo-14021.pdf.
143 Nondiscrimination, supra note 138, at 30426, 30428 (“The Department disagrees that § 106.45(b)(5)(v) of Title IX—implementing regulations] inherently or directly conflicts with FERPA. A recipient should interpret Title IX and FERPA in a manner to avoid any conflicts.”).
144 Id. at 30426 (“To the extent that there may be unusual circumstances, where a true conflict between Title IX and FERPA may exist (such as a student’s formal complaint against an employee), the Department includes a provision in § 106.6(e) to expressly state that the obligation to comply with these final regulations under Title IX is not obviated or alleviated by the FERPA statute or regulations.”).
provisions “shall be construed to affect the applicability of” Title IX. And the Title IX regulations themselves provide that a recipient’s obligation to comply with Title IX’s requirements “is not obviated or alleviated by the FERPA.”

Further, ED asserts that these Title IX regulations help protect a party’s right to procedural due process under the Constitution. In certain student disciplinary cases involving public schools, the Constitution requires that parties be given adequate notice of the charges against them and a meaningful opportunity to respond. ED is precluded from enforcing Title IX or FERPA in a manner that deprives students of their constitutional rights. According to this line of reasoning, FERPA cannot prohibit a school from disclosing to an accused student the evidence collected against him or her in a disciplinary proceeding because that would violate due process.

In addition, ED points to the FERPA requirement that parents (or eligible students) have access to their own education records. FERPA’s definition of education records includes records that “contain information directly related to a student.” ED notes that the evidence and investigative report disclosed pursuant to Title IX regulations directly relates to the allegations in a complaint, and therefore “directly relate” to the students at issue.

Moreover, as a practical matter, even if compliance with certain Title IX requirements might appear to conflict with FERPA’s provisions in a particular situation, schools that comply with Title IX regulations by disclosing evidence to parties in a disciplinary proceeding are unlikely to face legal repercussions. As noted above, FERPA does not create a private right of action, meaning that ED conducts most enforcement of the statute. But here, ED has explicitly acknowledged that, as it administers both FERPA and Title IX, it will “not interpret compliance with its regulations under Title IX to violate requirements in its regulations under FERPA.”

Conclusion

Although FERPA’s prohibition on disclosing student records without consent is framed broadly, the various exceptions to that mandate have provided schools flexibility to respond to situations in which certain information merits release. As discussed above, schools may disclose materials in order to ensure school safety, to participate in certain studies aimed at improving education outcomes, and to adapt to changes in how education is generally offered, including through developments in technology.
Some have expressed larger concerns with the handling of student records. The maintenance and use of student records by third parties authorized to receive them for a particular purpose, for instance, can generate controversy. If lawmakers believe these concerns are warranted, they can address them through legislation that amends FERPA to define schools’ and other parties’ obligations more specifically. Further, because the statute lacks a private right of action for students or parents to bring suit in response to FERPA violations, Congress could consider adding such a right to the statute. Finally, Congress could also amend the law to clarify the relationship between FERPA and a school’s obligations under Title IX.
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