Congressional Research Service
Informing the legislative debate since 1914

INSIGHT

The Child Tax Credit: Proposed Expansion in the House Ways and Means Reconciliation Legislation

February 24, 2021

In recent years, there has been increased interest in providing direct benefits to families with children to reduce child poverty in the United States, sometimes in the form of tax benefits. The National Academy of Sciences (NAS) included a "child allowance" as part of a package of policies to reduce child poverty over 10 years. (Senator Romney has also proposed a child allowance.) Some research has suggested that increasing the amount of the child tax credit that low-income families receive would substantially reduce child poverty, boost future earnings, and potentially improve future health and education outcomes.

In the 116th Congress, there were several legislative proposals to expand the child tax credit, especially for lower-income families that tend to receive little or no benefit from the current credit. In the 117th Congress, a temporary one-year expansion of the child credit was included in legislation submitted by the House Committee on Ways and Means in response to the reconciliation directives included in the budget resolution (S.Con.Res. 5).

This Insight provides a summary of the current child tax credit and an overview of the proposal to expand it included in the Ways and Means reconciliation legislation.

How is the child credit calculated under current law?

Under current law, the child tax credit allows eligible taxpayers to reduce their federal income tax liability by up to $2,000 per qualifying child. A qualifying child is generally any dependent child who is under 17 years old. The credit is reduced in value, or phased out, by $50 for every $1,000 of income over $200,000 ($400,000 for married couples who file joint tax returns).

If a taxpayer's income tax liability is *less* than the maximum value of the child tax credit, the taxpayer may be eligible to receive all or part of the difference as a refundable credit. The refundable portion of the child tax credit—the amount which is greater than income taxes owed—is often referred to as the additional child tax credit (ACTC) and is calculated using what is sometimes referred to as "the earned income formula." Under the earned income formula, the ACTC gradually increases, or phases in, as earned income rises above $2,500. The maximum amount of the ACTC is $1,400 per qualifying child.

Congressional Research Service
https://crsreports.congress.gov
IN11613

CRS estimates that about one in every five taxpayers (19%) with a credit-eligible child have low incomes that result in them receiving less than the maximum credit.

How would the child credit change under the Ways and Means reconciliation legislation in the 117th Congress?

The Ways and Means reconciliation legislation would make several temporary changes (for 2021 only) that would expand the child tax credit, primarily for low-income taxpayers. These changes include:

- **Expanding eligibility to 17 year olds:** The bill would increase the maximum age for an eligible child from 16 to 17.
- **Making the credit fully refundable:** The bill would eliminate the ACTC phase-in based on earned income and eliminate the ACTC cap of $1,400 per child. Hence, the child credit would be "fully refundable" and available to otherwise eligible taxpayers with no earned income.
- **Increasing the credit for low- and moderate-income taxpayers, with larger increases for younger children:** The bill would increase the maximum amount of the credit from $2,000 per child to $3,600 per child for a young child (0-5 years old) and $3,000 per child for an older child (6-17 years old), as illustrated in the figures below. Generally, this increase in the maximum child credit of $1,600 per young child and $1,000 per older child would gradually phase out at a rate of 5% as income exceeded specified thresholds until the credit amount equaled the current-law maximum of $2,000 per child. These thresholds are $75,000 for single filers, $112,500 for head of household filers, and $150,000 for married joint filers. (The actual income level at which the credit phased down to $2,000 per child would depend on the number and age of qualifying children. A slightly different calculation may apply for large families.) The credit would then remain at its current-law level and phase out when income exceeded the current-law threshold of $200,000 ($400,000 for married joint filers).

These changes would increase the amount of the credit for low- and moderate-income taxpayers, while higher-income families would generally receive the same benefit as under current law (unless they had an eligible 17-year-old), as illustrated in the figures below.

The Joint Committee on Taxation estimates this temporary one-year expansion of the child credit would cost $110 billion, mostly in FY2021 and FY2022 (these estimates also include the relatively smaller cost of permanently extending the credit to residents of U.S. territories).

Child Credit Amount under Current Law and the Ways & Means Legislation for One Young Child (0-5 Years Old), 2021



Source: CRS calculations based on IRC §24 and Subtitle G of the Ways and Means proposal posted on February 8, 2021.

Note: A stylized example assuming the taxpayer has one qualifying child and all income is earned income, with no other sources of income and no above-the-line deductions claimed. Unmarried taxpayers are assumed to file as head of household, married taxpayers are assumed to file joint returns.

Child Credit Amount under Current Law and the Ways & Means Legislation for One Older Child (6-17 Years Old), 2021





Source: CRS calculations based on IRC §24 and Subtitle G of the Ways and Means proposal posted on February 8, 2021.
Note: A stylized example assuming the taxpayer has one qualifying child and all income is earned income, with no other sources of income and no above-the-line deductions claimed. Unmarried taxpayers are assumed to file as head of household, married taxpayers are assumed to file joint returns.

How would the Ways and Means legislation advance the credit?

The legislation would direct the Treasury to issue *half of the expected 2021 credit* in monthly payments beginning July 1, 2021 (the Treasury could issue the payments less frequently for the last six months of

2021 if it deemed monthly payments were not feasible). Taxpayers would claim the remaining half of the total 2021 credit when filing their 2021 income tax return in early 2022.

The amount of the payments advanced in 2021 would be estimated based on 2020 income tax data or, if unavailable, 2019 income tax data.

Would taxpayers need to repay the advanced credit?

In cases where a taxpayer receives more in advanced payments than they are eligible for—due to changes in income, filing status, or number of children—taxpayers would generally need to repay any excess credit. Repayment could either reduce their 2021 tax refund or result in the taxpayer being required to remit payment to the IRS.

In cases where an incorrect amount of the advanced payment was *due to net changes in the number of qualifying children*, up to $2,000 per child of the advanced payment would be protected from repayment (the "safe harbor" amount) for lower-income taxpayers. This safe harbor amount would gradually phase down as income increased. *Net changes in number of qualifying children* can occur, for example, when a single parent has two children in 2020 (the year of the information used to estimate the advanced credit), but, because one of their children now lives with the other parent or another relative, one child in 2021.

Below is an illustrative example of how much would need to be paid back if an unmarried taxpayer received an advance payment based on a projection that they would have two young children in 2021 (and so were estimated to be eligible for $7,200 of which $3,600 would be advanced), but in actuality, they had one or none.

Repayment of Advanced Child Credit for Children Incorrectly Claimed under the Ways & Means Legislation | Unmarried Taxpayer, 2021

Advanced: 2 Young Children → Actual: 1 Young Child



Advanced: 2 Young Children → Actual: 0 Young Children



Source: CRS calculations based on IRC §24 and Subtitle G of the Ways and Means proposal posted on February 8, 2021.

Notes: Stylized example assuming advanced amount based on projection that taxpayer would have 2 young children in 2021. In actuality, the taxayer would only have one young child (top panel) or no young children (bottom panel). The taxpayer would have no other qualifying children. An ummaried taxpayer with children (top panel) is assumed to file as head of household. An umarried taxpayer with no children (bottom panel) is assumed to file as single.

A more detailed overview of determining the payback amount can be found in the figure below.

Steps for Reconciling Advanced Payments of the Child Credit with the Actual Credit on 2021 Tax Returns under the Ways & Means Legislation That Occur Due to an Incorrect Number of Qualifying Children

Steps to Reconcile Advanced and Actual Credit	Example 1 Single parent with 2 qualifying young children in 2020 and 1 young child/0 older children in 2021	Example 2 Single parent with 2 qualifying young children in 2020 and 0 qualifying children in 2021
	Maximum projected 2021 credit: $7,200 **Maximum amount of credit advanced in 2021:** $3,600	
Step 1. Determine maximum safe harbor amount. Determine net difference in (a) number of qualifying children used to determine *advanced credit* and (b) number eligible for *actual credit* in 2021. Multiply this amount by $2,000.	The net difference between the (a) number of qualifying children from a 2020 tax return (2 children) used to determine the advanced credit and (b) the number of children that would be claimed on a 2021 tax return (1 child) would be **1 child**. $1\ child\ x\ \$2{,}000 = \$2{,}000$	The net difference between the (a) number of qualifying children from a 2020 tax return (2 children) used to determine the advanced credit and (b) the number of children that would be claimed on a 2021 tax return (0 children) would be **2 children**. $2\ children\ x\ \$2{,}000 = \$4{,}000$
Step 2: Phaseout maximum safe harbor, if applicable. Depending on **income** and **filing status in 2021**, the maximum safe harbor could be subject to reduction. Safe harbor would be reduced ratably (i.e., proportionally) between: **$40,000-$80,000** single filers **$50,000-$100,000** head of household filers **$60,000-$120,000** married joint filers	**No phaseout**: If a single parent in 2021 (i.e. head of household filer in 2021) had income under $50,000, their safe harbor would not be reduced (i.e., it would equal the **maximum safe harbor amount**). **Phaseout:** If a single parent in 2021 had income between $50,000 and $100,000, the maximum safe harbor would phase out ratably in relation to income in the phaseout range. For example, if income were **$60,000** in 2021, the maximum safe harbor would be reduced by: $[\frac{\$60{,}000-\$50{,}000}{\$100{,}000-\$50{,}000}]$=**20%** A **$2,000** safe harbor **reduced by 20%** would equal **$1,600.** **No safe harbor:** If a single parent in 2021 had **more than $100,000** in income, their safe harbor amount would be **$0**.	**No phaseout**: If a single person in 2021 (i.e. single filer in 2021) had income under $40,000, their safe harbor would not be reduced (i.e., it would equal the **maximum safe harbor amount**). **Phaseout:** If a single person in 2021 had income between $40,000 and $80,000, the maximum safe harbor would phase out ratably in relation to income in the phaseout range. For example, if income were **$60,000** in 2021, the maximum safe harbor would be reduced by: $[\frac{\$60{,}000-\$40{,}000}{\$80{,}000-\$40{,}000}]$=**50%** A **$2,000** safe harbor **reduced by 50%** would equal **$1,000.** **No safe harbor:** If a single person in 2021 had **more than $80,000** in income, their safe harbor amount would be **$0**.
Step 3: Calculate the amount that needs to be recaptured (or paid back) on 2021 tax return: Subtract the safe harbor amount (determined after step 2) from the total amount advanced in 2021. If the **safe harbor amount** is greater than or equal to **advanced amount**, none of the advanced amount would need to be paid back.	If income in 2021 for a single parent was: **Under $50,000**: Payback amount would be **$1,600.** This amount equals the **$3,600** in total advanced credit they received in 2021 minus the **$2,000** safe harbor. **$50,000+:** Payback amount would gradually increase from **$1,600** to the total advanced amount when income was $100,000 or more. *If income was $60,000, the **safe harbor** would be **$1,600**, the single parent would need to pay back **$3,600** - **$1,600** or **$2,000**. (Since this single parent would generally be eligible to receive $3,600 in the young child credit on their 2021 tax return, this recapture would effectively reduce their 2021 child credit by $2,000.)*	If income in 2021 for a single person was: **Under $44,000:** Payback amount would be **$0** since the advanced amount of **$3,600** is less than **$4,000** when income is under $40,000. Between $40,000 and $44,000 the safe harbor would be gradually declining but still greater than or equal to $3,600. **$44,000+:** Payback amount would equal **$3,600** *minus* **safe harbor** until income was $80,000 or more at which point the total advanced amount would need to be repaid. *If income was $60,000, the **safe harbor** would be **$1,000**, the single person would need to pay back **$3,600** - **$1,000** or **$2,600**.*

Sources: CRS analysis of Subtitle G, Budget Reconciliation Legislative Recommendations as released on February 8, 2021 by the Majority Staff of the Committee on Ways and Means.
Notes: Assumes advanced payment that would be received in 2021 would be based on 2020 income and family structure (number of qualifying children and marital status). Broadly, income is assumed to be the same between 2020 and 2021 to isolate the impact of a changing number of qualifying children.

Author Information

Margot L. Crandall-Hollick
Acting Section Research Manager

Disclaimer

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS's institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.