The Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) Block Grant

Introduction
The Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) block grant was created in the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 (PRWORA, P.L. 104-193). That law was the culmination of a series of legislative changes that altered the rules for providing benefits and services to needy families with children.

Brief History
Public cash assistance to needy families with children has its origin in the early 1900s state and locally financed “mother’s pension” programs that aided single mothers (often widows) so that children could be raised in their own homes rather than institutionalized. The Social Security Act of 1935 provided federal funding for these programs with the explicit goal to aid mothers so they would not have to work and could stay home to raise their children.

Post-1935 changes altered the context in which programs for needy families with children operated. In 1939, survivors’ benefits were added to Social Security, providing social insurance benefits to widows and their children. The increase in labor force participation among married mothers altered views about whether government should aid single mothers to stay at home. Families with children whose fathers were alive but absent comprised more of the public cash assistance caseload. The caseload also became more nonwhite. Cash assistance to needy families with children became among the most controversial of social programs, particularly beginning in the late 1960s as the cash assistance caseload had its first large increase. Proposals to replace or reform cash assistance for needy families were debated across four decades, ultimately leading to the enactment of PRWORA.

The TANF Block Grant
The TANF block grant’s overall purpose is to “increase the flexibility of states” to meet four statutory goals: (1) provide assistance to needy families so that children may remain in their homes; (2) reduce dependency of needy parents on government benefits through work, job preparation, and marriage; (3) reduce out-of-wedlock pregnancies; and (4) promote the formation and maintenance of two-parent families. PRWORA and the creation of TANF altered the federal rules that applied to states for their cash assistance programs. It also established a broad-purpose block grant that provides funds to states to address both the effects and root causes of childhood economic disadvantage.

Federal Grants and State Funds
TANF provides grants to the 50 states, District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, Guam, and the Virgin Islands. American Indian tribes may also operate their own TANF programs with federal dollars. The bulk of TANF funding is in a basic block grant of $16.5 billion per year. Every year, each state receives a fixed grant based on how much it received in federal funding in the pre-1996 cash assistance and related programs during the early- and mid-1990s. Tribes also may receive grants based on mid-1990s expenditures.

The TANF block grant has not been increased since the enactment of the 1996 welfare law. There has been no adjustment for inflation or population change. From 1997 to 2020, the basic TANF block grant has lost 38% of its value to inflation. During TANF’s history, states have at times received TANF funds in addition to the basic block grant. For FY2021, the only additional funding to states for TANF is through the TANF-contingency fund.

In addition to federal funding, states are required to expend a minimum amount of their own funds on the TANF-related population and TANF-related programs (a total minimum of $10.3 billion per year). This amount is also based on historical expenditures in pre-TANF programs and is known as the “maintenance of effort” (MOE) requirement. Some states spend more than the minimum.

Use of TANF Funds
States may use federal block grant and MOE funds in any manner that is “reasonably calculated” to achieve TANF’s statutory purpose and goals. States have used TANF funds for a wide range of benefits and services. In FY2019, a total of $30.9 billion was spent by states from federal TANF and state MOE funds. TANF basic assistance, including monthly cash benefits to families with children, totaled $6.5 billion. In addition to assistance, TANF contributes to state funds used for work and training programs, child care, pre-kindergarten programs, programs to provide services to children who have been abused and neglected or are at risk of it, and other services (youth activities, responsible fatherhood and healthy marriage promotion).

Figure 1. Uses of Federal TANF and State MOE Funds, by Category: FY2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Total-$30.9 Billion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basic Assistance</td>
<td>$6.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Care</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work, Education and Training</td>
<td>$5.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refundable Tax Credit</td>
<td>$2.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-K/Head Start</td>
<td>$2.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Welfare</td>
<td>$2.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration</td>
<td>$2.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency and Short-Term Benefits</td>
<td>$2.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Services</td>
<td>$4.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Congressional Research Service (CRS), based on data from the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS).
State TANF Cash Assistance Programs

Federal law requires that a family aided by TANF cash assistance have a dependent child, and limits federally funded aid to families with an adult recipient to five years. States set most TANF rules that apply to recipient families. States determine the TANF benefit amounts. In July 2019, the maximum monthly benefit for a single-parent family of three ranged from $1,066 in New Hampshire to $170 in Mississippi. There is a regional pattern to these maximum benefits; they are lowest in the South.

Figure 2. Maximum Monthly TANF Cash Assistance Benefit by State, July 2019

For a Single-Parent Family with Two Children

In June 2020, a total of 1.1 million families received TANF assistance. This compares with the historical peak in receipt of assistance under TANF’s predecessor program in March 1994 of 5.1 million families. The number of families receiving TANF assistance was 4% higher in June 2020 than in December 2019, reflecting the economic recession accompanying the COVID-19 pandemic.

Figure 3. Number of Families Receiving Assistance, 1959-2020

In FY2019, all but one jurisdiction (Montana) met the “all families” work standard and all but five met the two-parent standard. States that met their work standard generally did so through caseload reduction and aiding families who were already working, rather than engaging unemployed recipients in activities. The Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) has issued guidance to states to help them respond to the COVID-19 pandemic, noting that while it cannot waive TANF work rules, it can relieve states of penalties for states’ failure to meet these standards and “will exercise this authority to the maximum extent possible.”

Issues

Issues that have been raised in recent discussions of TANF include the following:

- Should TANF funding levels or allocation of funds to the states be altered to account for changed circumstances (e.g., inflation, poverty population)?

- Should Congress provide additional TANF funding to address the increase in need accompanying the economic effects of the COVID-19 pandemic? Should some TANF rules (e.g., work requirement and time limits on aid) be suspended during the pandemic?

- Once the pandemic ends, should changes be made to work requirements to prompt states to engage additional unemployed recipients in activities? Should changes be made to alter the share of eligible families receiving assistance? For example, should TANF dollars support the broad range of activities currently allowable or should they be focused on cash assistance and supporting work?
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